



UO Student Recreation Center **Project User Group (PUG) Meeting 4A – 12/13/11**

Program Development

User Group:	Dennis Munroe	UO	PE & Rec	present
	Mike Eyster	UO	Student Affairs	present
	Bryan Haunert	UO	PE & Rec	present
	Brent Harrison	UO	PE & Rec	present
	Sue Wieseke	UO	PE & Rec	present
	Geoff Hale	Student	SRC Advisory Bd	present
	Michelle Vander Heyden	Student	ASUO	present remote
	Derick Olsen	Student	SRC Student Emp	present
	Kristen Gleason	UO	Club Sports	present
	Jen Phillips	UO	Neuroscience	present
	Julie Haack	UO	Chemistry	
	Rob Thallon	UO	Architecture	present
Support	Gene Mowery	UO	Planning	present
	Emily Eng	UO	Planning	present
	Charlene Lindsay	UO	FS Cap Con	present
	Daren Dehle	UO	FS Cap Con	present first half
Design Team	Jack Patton	RDG	Architect	present
	Jeff Schaub	RDG	Architect	present
	Jim Henry	RDG	Energy	present
	Otto Poticha	Poticha	Architect	present
	Carl Sherwood	RSA	Architect	present
	Dave Guadagni	RSA	Architect	present
Guests	Peg Rees	UO	PE & Rec	present
	Jackie James	UO	PE & Rec	present

MEETING MINUTES

Diagrams and other visual information presented at this workshop and noted below are available at the UO project web site: <http://pages.uoregon.edu/eeng/src.html>

- Gene reviewed the schedule for this week and for project.
- Dennis noted that the student referendum for funding the SRC additions did not pass. It is likely that there will be another vote in the fall. We will therefore miss the next legislative cycle. None-the-less we will finish schematic design. We are not stopping. Hopefully the funding will be approved in the next referendum.
- Jack reviewed the program and preliminary budget. Blue items on the spreadsheet are priority items in the project. Healthy Oregon program elements are marked by "H". Esslinger replacement components are marked with an "R". Unfunded elements are marked with a "U". With the main priorities the project is on budget of \$35 million direct construction cost. Healthy Campus is now in the program summary at about \$1.9 million. Today's plans show a possible

location for the Healthy Campus program. All lockers will be replaced in the first phase, with 700 new for men and 700 new for women. Esslinger mat, weight and adjacent storage rooms will be saved during the first phase. The priority items in the program total a net new area of about 85,000 sf with a gross total area of about 117,000. The existing Rec / PE space is about 170,000 sf (per Bryan H). Note that after meeting Jack came up with a lower square foot total. Esslinger mostly remains untouched but still there will be about \$200,000 in the budget for work in that area.

4. Will there be 8 or 10 lanes at the large lap pool? The direction is to provide a 25 yd x 25 m pool (10 lanes) for now.
5. Jeff gave an overview of the two new schemes 11 and 12.
6. Scheme 11 has a north/south main street concept with perpendicular circulation to the east. Scheme 12 has a more centralized town square concept with circulation spinning off in more of a pinwheel organization. Both schemes have the free zone walk thru from north to east. With a controlled entry at south
7. Scheme 11 has pools and all lockers at the lower field level with the new gyms above at the indoor track level.
8. Scheme 12 has the pools at the main level with the lockers split between the main and indoor track level. There is good daylight opportunity into the natatorium. The gyms are above the pools.
9. Healthy Oregon is a new program that might be added with separate donor funding. It fits well with the SRC.
10. In both schemes the Healthy Oregon program is in the cardio space adjacent to the main north building entry. This allows for both a front entry and a backdoor connection to the SRC. It would have two levels. The group did not approve of this location
11. The cantilever fitness space over the east side out door path in Scheme 11 could also be done in Scheme 12.
12. Schemes were compared as follows:
 - a. Views into pool better in 11
 - b. East entry better in 11
 - c. Solar exposure better in 12
 - d. Day lighting better in 12
 - e. Bike path connection better in 11
 - f. Outdoor Pool deck only occurs in 12
 - g. Lockers all down in 11 not as good for access as split/stacked locker rooms in 12.
 - h. Lockers in 12 form a visual obstruction
 - i. Massing is more compact in 11 but requires more excavation.
 - j. Pool envelope buffered by smaller rooms in 11, not in 12.
 - k. Offices in yellow zone scheme a little better in 11
 - l. Control versus free zone connections vertically is better in 11
 - m. Way finding good in both scheme but with yellow zone added it is better in 12
 - n. Mechanical from above in 11 and below in 12. Not yet know which is better.
 - o. Parking works in yellow zone in both schemes but can remain as-is in 12.
 - p. Outdoor rooftop basketball court is good in 12. It is at ground level in 11 until yellow zone build-out. Even then south location is not as desirable as east overlook of fields.
13. Out door pool deck is important – group consensus. Needs to be elevated not at field level. Should support BBQ and other activities

14. Fitness at east on Scheme 11 is great, especially canted towards 15th street.
15. Problem with lockers in 11. Group felt that there was more flexibility with stacked lockers in 12.
16. The group likes the southern exposure of the natatorium in 12, and asks if natatorium in scheme 12 can be lowered to field level?
17. The overview of group ex into natatorium is good especially since group ex users will not be on display.
18. Outdoor roof court is potential rental space and is OK behind control. Out door roof area could also be used as an activity space (yoga?).
19. Mac courts in the yellow zone build out need to be side by side (scheme 12) not end for end (scheme 11). They are rentable if off of the free zone in addition to having control zone access.
20. Problem with long halls and remote rooms at lower level yellow zone in scheme 11.
21. Cardio zones overlooking free zone is a great idea.
22. Pool goals: sundeck, good connection to fields, southeast placement.
23. A gym at either the track level or at a floor above the track level will be OK.
24. Two story lockers good/preferred.
25. Look for other locations for Healthy Oregon. Not to go at front entry existing cardio location.
26. Yellow zone needs to work with either a green zone or a building wall to its west (Esslinger side).

End of Report